

COURSE - FALL 2016 Course Evaluations

PL 334-1 Terrorism and Counterterrorism

EVALUATION FOR

Gabriele Simoncini

EVALUATION RESULTS 4.11

	Grouped votes	Tot.Resp.	Q.Average
•	Evaluation: Overall, I would rate this course (1= Poor , 5= Excellent)		
	1 0 2 1 3 2 4 4 5 6	13	4.15
•	▼ Evaluation: The course objectives were clearly defined (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree)		
	1 1 2 0 3 2 4 3 5 7	13	4.15
▼ Evaluation: The grading policy was clearly explained (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree)			
	1 1 2 0 3 2 4 4 5 6	13	4.08
▼	▼ Evaluation: The professor actively furthers student learning and understanding (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree)		
	1 0 2 1 3 1 4 6 5 5	13	4.15
•	▼ Evaluation: The professor communicated the subject matter ef fectively (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree)		
	1 0 2 1 3 3 4 4 5 5	13	4
▼	Evaluation: The professor was well prepared for class (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree)		
	1 1 2 0 3 0 4 4 5 8	13	4.38
▼ Evaluation: The teaching materials helped achieve course objectives (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree)			
	1 1 2 1 3 2 4 4 5 5	13	3.85

What are the strengths and weaknesses of the course?

- Weakness-no powerpoint all talking
- The professor is really knowledgeable and sometimes gives some hints for further interesting researches
- strength- strong, relevant material
- The strengths of this course is that it discusses the new phenomena of terrorism and counter terrorism today which is engaging the weakness of this course is sometimes the information brought forth seems repetitive
- Professor was always prepared, took care of the topic with a lot of care as it was very sensitive, W ell done!
- · The course readings, lectures and class trips all enhanced the learning environment
- strengths: very interesting and highly relevant course material that is delivered clearly weaknesses: none
- Strengths: The course covers various important topics on my areas of interest. The objectives listed on the syllabus were fully met.
 Weaknesses: None

What are the strengths and weaknesses of the professor?

- It seems like he is reading directly from the book giving no further insight. The guest speakers were a great addition to the class.
- He was very passionate and a good teacher
- · weakness- lack of engagement, can be boring
- The strengths of this professor is that he is extremely knowledgable on the subject The weaknesses of this professor is that he doesn't change up his teaching style and sometimes during lecture he talks too fast to take notes
- Could talk louder, sometimes hard to understand.
- Very knowledgeable about the subject. I think more group discussions would be very interesting (maybe one more movie?). I especially enjoyed the last discussion we had on the final movie.
- strengths: reiterated important elements of the material and made class interesting by showing relevant films and facilitating important discussion weaknesses: would occasionally assign things that were never actually collected
- Professor Simoncini is very helpful and supportive to his students. His lecturing encourages students to participate and involve in discussions. He is one of the most interesting professionals due to immense knowledge he possesses about the subject
- Strengths: The professor is very knowledgeable and has an extensive knowledge of all topics discussed in class. The professor can lecture for hours, without falling of f track. Weaknesses: None

Any other comments?

• This professor was very informative and lots of fun! He made our learning space an enthusiastic one, and made all students feel like their opinions were safe and they were never judged. This professor made all learning material easy to follow .